

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/549,342	COLPITTS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Cheryl L. Becker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3-5, 39 and 41-47

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent number 6,066,724 (filed March 21, 1997)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicants' representative, Ms. Becker discussed Applicants' invention in contrast to the information in patent. It was identified by the participants that the prior art does not fairly suggest or teach the claimed polypeptides covalently linked by disulfide bonds. .